arXiv:1408.1334v1 [math.NT] 6 Aug 2014

DISSECTIONS OF A "STRANGE" FUNCTION

SCOTT AHLGREN AND BYUNGCHAN KIM

In memory of Felice Bateman, Paul Bateman, and Heini Halberstam

Abstract. The "strange" function of Kontsevich and Zagier is defined by

$$F(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n).$$

This series is defined only when q is a root of unity, and provides an example of what Zagier has called a "quantum modular form." In their recent work on congruences for the Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ (whose generating function is $F(1-q)$), Andrews and Sellers recorded a speculation about the polynomials which appear in the dissections of the partial sums of $F(q)$. We prove that a more general form of their speculation is true. The congruences of Andrews-Sellers were generalized by Garvan in the case of prime modulus, and by Straub in the case of prime power modulus. As a corollary of our theorem, we reprove the known congruences for $\xi(n)$ modulo prime powers.

1. Introduction

Define

$$(q)_n := (1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n)$$

and let the "strange" function of Kontsevich and Zagier [4] be defined by

$$F(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q)_n. \tag{1.1}$$

This is an example of what Zagier [5] calls a "quantum modular form." The definition makes sense as a formal power series only when q is a root of unity, in which case the sum terminates. The series does not converge on any open set, but as shown by Zagier, the value of each of its derivatives is well defined at every root of unity. In particular, if ζ is an Nth root of unity, then we have [4]

$$F(\zeta e^{-z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(\zeta) z^n}{n!}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the coefficients are given by expressions of the form

$$b_n(\zeta) = \sum_{\substack{m \le 6N \\ (m,6)=1}} a(m,N) \zeta^{\frac{m^2-1}{24}} \tag{1.3}$$

with certain integers $a(m,N)$.

Date: August 7, 2014.

The first author was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#208525 to Scott Ahlgren). Byungchan Kim was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF 2013R1A1A2061326).

We set

$$F(q;N) := \sum_{n=0}^{N} (q)_n.$$

If ζ_n is an nth root of unity and $N \geq n-1$ then we have

$$F(\zeta_n) = F(\zeta_n; n-1) = F(\zeta_n; N). \tag{1.4}$$

The Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ are defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi(n) q^n = F(1-q) = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 5q^3 + 15q^4 + 53q^5 + \dots.$$

This does make sense as a formal power series since $(1-q)_n = O(q^n)$.

We will consider the t-dissections of the polynomials $F(q;N)$ for positive integers t. Given N and t, we define polynomials $A_t(N,i,q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ via the formula

$$F(q;N) = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} q^i A_t(N,i,q^t). \tag{1.5}$$

The pentagonal numbers are those integers of the form $(m^2-1)/24$ where m is coprime to 6. We define $S(t) \subseteq \{0,1,\dots,t-1\}$ as the set of reductions of the collection of pentagonal numbers modulo t, and we define

$$\lambda(N,t) := \lfloor \tfrac{N+1}{t} \rfloor.$$

We will prove the following, which answers a question of Andrews and Sellers [1] in the special case when t is a prime number.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that t and N are positive integers, and that $i \notin S(t)$. Then*

$$(q)_{\lambda(N,t)} \big| A_t(N,i,q).$$

Remark. Let Φ_k denote the kth cyclotomic polynomial. We find that

$$(q)_{\lambda(N,t)} = \pm \prod_{k=1}^{\lambda(N,t)} \Phi_k(q)^{\lambda(N,tk)}. \tag{1.6}$$

In particular, if $i \notin S(t)$, then $A_t(t,i,q)$ is divisible by a high power of $\Phi_1(q) = q-1$. This fact (when t is prime) is crucial for the proof of the congruences for Fishburn numbers which have been recently studied by Andrews-Sellers [1], Garvan [2], and Straub [3]. In the last section we illustrate how to derive congruences for the Fishburn numbers using Theorem 1.

2. Proofs

We require a lemma which shows that the quantities of interest are stable as N grows.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that ζ is an nth root of unity, that $t \geq 1$ and that $\nu \geq 0$.*

(1) If $N \geq (\nu+1)n - 1$ then

$$\left(q\tfrac{d}{dq}\right)^{\nu} F(q)\big|_{q=\zeta} = \left(q\tfrac{d}{dq}\right)^{\nu} F(q;(\nu+1)n-1)\big|_{q=\zeta} = \left(q\tfrac{d}{dq}\right)^{\nu} F(q;N)\big|_{q=\zeta}. \tag{2.1}$$

(2) If $N \geq (\nu+1)nt - 1$ then for all i we have

$$A_t^{(\nu)}(N,i,\zeta) = A_t^{(\nu)}\left((\nu+1)nt-1, i, \zeta\right).$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the definition of $F(q;N)$. For the second we require [1, Lemma 2.4] (which remains true for composite values of t). In particular we have

$$\left(q\tfrac{d}{dq}\right)^{\nu} F(q;N) = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu}\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} C_{\nu,i,j}(t) q^{i+jt} A_t^{(j)}(N,i,q^t), \tag{2.2}$$

where $C_{\nu,i,j}(t)$ is the array of integers defined recursively as follows:

(1) $C_{0,0,0}(t) = 1$,
(2) $C_{\nu,i,0}(t) = i^{\nu}$ and $C_{\nu,i,j}(t) = 0$ for $j \geq \nu+1$,
(3) $C_{\nu+1,i,j}(t) = (i+jt)C_{\nu,i,j}(t) + tC_{\nu,i,j-1}(t)$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$.

We now extract those terms in (2.2) whose exponents are congruent to i modulo t. By orthogonality, we find that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\nu} C_{\nu,i,j}(t) q^{i+jt} A_t^{(j)}(N,i,q^t) = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \zeta_t^{-ji} \left(\left(q\tfrac{d}{dq}\right)^{\nu} F(q,N)\right)\Big|_{q=\zeta_t^j q}. \tag{2.3}$$

The second claim follows from induction on ν after substituting a nt-th root of unity for q, using (2.1) and the fact that the coefficients $C_{\nu,i,j}(t)$ which appear are non-zero. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that t and k are positive integers, that $i \notin S(t)$, that $\nu \geq 0$, and that ζ_k is a kth root of unity. Using (1.6), the theorem will follow once we show that

$$A_t^{(\nu)}(N,i,\zeta_k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad N \geq (\nu+1)tk - 1. \tag{2.4}$$

From (1.5) we find that

$$A_t(N,i,q) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^j A_{tk}(N, i+jt, q^k). \tag{2.5}$$

Note that if $i \notin S(t)$, then $i+jt \notin S(tk)$. It is therefore enough to show (after replacing A_{tk} by A_t) that for all t and ν, for $i \notin S(t)$ and for $N \geq (\nu+1)t-1$ we have

$$A_t^{(\nu)}(N,i,1) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

We prove (2.6) by induction on ν. For the base case $\nu = 0$, suppose that $N \geq t-1$. Substituting $q = \zeta_t$ in (2.3), and using (2.1) and (1.2) gives

$$A_t(N,i,1) = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \zeta_t^{-ji} F(\zeta_t^j; N) = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \zeta_t^{-ji} F(\zeta_t^j) = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \zeta_t^{-ji} b_0(\zeta_t^j).$$

We find that $A_t(N,i,1) = 0$ using (1.3) and orthogonality, since $i \notin S(t)$.

Suppose now that (2.6) has been established for $j \leq \nu-1$ and that $N \geq (\nu+1)t-1$. Using (2.3) and (2.1) as in the base case along with the induction hypothesis, we find that

$$C_{\nu,i,\nu}(t) A_t^{(\nu)}(N,i,1) = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \zeta_t^{-ji} \left(\left(q\tfrac{d}{dq}\right)^{\nu} F(q)\right)\Big|_{q=\zeta_t^j} = \frac{1}{t}\sum_{j=1}^{t} \zeta_t^{-ji} b_{\nu}(\zeta_t^j).$$

The result follows from (1.3) and orthogonality (note that $C_{\nu,i,\nu}(t)$ is positive). □

3. Application to congruences for Fishburn numbers

As a corollary one can derive congruences for the Fishburn numbers modulo p^r for any prime $p \geq 5$ (for background on these numbers, we refer the reader to [1], [2] and [3]). For $r \geq 2$ these congruences and their generalizations to other types of Fishburn numbers have recently been obtained by Straub [3], who generalized the work of Andrews-Sellers [1] and Garvan [2] in the case $r = 1$. We sketch a proof using Theorem 1 in the simplest case of the usual Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ defined in the introduction. Define $T(p^r) \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p^r - 1\}$ as the set of those residues which are strictly larger than any element of $S(p^r)$. Using divisibility properties of binomial coefficients along with Theorem 1 we show that

$$j \in T(p^r) \implies \xi(p^r n + j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r} \quad \text{for all } n. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark. It appears that this describes the complete set of such congruences when $r \geq 2$. When $r = 1$, Garvan [2] has proved a slightly stronger result for some primes p (to the authors' knowledge, $p = 23$ is the only prime for which Garvan's result is known to be stronger for the usual Fishburn numbers). For $r \geq 2$, (3.1) states that

$$\xi(23^r n - j) \equiv 0 \pmod{23^r}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 5.$$

Garvan's work implies that the same is true when $r = 1$.

To prove (3.1), suppose that n is a positive integer and that $N \geq np^r - 1$. By Theorem 1 in the case when $t = p^r$, there exists $P \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that

$$F(1-q, N) = \sum_{i \in S(p^r)} (1-q)^i A_{p^r}(N, i, (1-q)^{p^r}) + \left(1 - (1-q)^{p^r}\right)^n \cdot P(q). \tag{3.2}$$

It follows that

$$F(1-q, N) \equiv \sum_{i \in S(p^r)} (1-q)^i A_{p^r}(N, i, (1-q)^{p^r}) + O\left(q^{pn-(p-1)(r-1)}\right) \pmod{p^r}.$$

The sum is an integral linear combination of terms of the form

$$(1-q)^{i+kp^r} \quad \text{with} \quad i \in S(p^r),$$

so we must prove that if m is a natural number whose residue modulo p^r lies in $T(p^r)$, then

$$\binom{i + kp^r}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}. \tag{3.3}$$

Suppose that $n \in S(p)$ and that $r \geq 2$. These facts are straightforward to check:

(1) If $n \not\equiv -1/24 \pmod{p}$ then $n + pk \in S(p^r)$ for $0 \leq k < p^{r-1}$.
(2) If $n \equiv -1/24 \pmod{p}$ then $n + p^r - p \notin S(p^r)$.

Letting n_0 be the largest element of $S(p)$ which is not congruent to $-1/24 \pmod{p}$, it follows that the largest element of $S(p^r)$ is $n_0 + p^r - p$. Since $m \in T(p^r)$, we have $m > n_0 + p^r - p$. Therefore the p-adic expansion of m has the form

$$m = m_0 + (p-1)p + (p-1)p^2 + \cdots + (p-1)p^{r-1} + O(p^r),$$

where m_0 is strictly larger than the the first digit in the p-adic expansion of i. The congruence (3.3) then follows by Kummer's theorem, and (3.1) follows from (3.2) after letting $n \to \infty$.

References

1. George E. Andrews and James A. Sellers, *Congruences for the Fishburn numbers*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5345 (2014).
2. Frank Garvan, *Congruences and relations for r-Fishburn numbers*, Preprint (2014).
3. Armin Straub, *Congruences for Fishburn numbers modulo prime powers*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7521 (2014).
4. Don Zagier, *Vassiliev invariants and a strange identity related to the Dedekind eta-function*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 5, 945–960. MR 1860536 (2002g:11055)
5. ———, *Quantum modular forms*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 659–675. MR 2757599 (2012a:11066)

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801
E-mail address: sahlgren@illinois.edu

School of Liberal Arts, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, 172 Gongreung 2 dong, Nowongu, Seoul,139-743, Korea
E-mail address: bkim4@seoultech.ac.kr